Effect of thermal annealing on the ferrimagnetic behavior and ordering of the [MnTXPP]⁺[TCNE]⁻⁻·solv (X = F, Cl, Br, I; solv = PhMe, CH_2Cl_2) family of magnets †

Durrell K. Rittenberg, Atta M. Arif and Joel S. Miller*

Department of Chemistry, 315 S. 1400 E. RM 2124, Salt Lake City, UT 84112-0850, USA. E-mail: jsmiller@chemistry.utah.edu

Received 2nd May 2000, Accepted 21st June 2000 First published as an Advance Article on the web 21st September 2000 ULL PAPER

5,10,15,20-Tetrakis(4-fluorophenyl)porphyrinatomanganese(III) tetracyanoethenide, [MnTFPP][TCNE], 1F, 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-bromophenyl)porphyrinatomanganese(III) tetracyanoethenide, [MnTBrPP][TCNE], 1Br, and 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-iodophenyl)porphyrinatomanganese(III) tetracyanoethenide, [MnTIPP][TCNE], 1I, have been prepared and characterized as both the toluene and dichloromethane disolvates and the magnetic, IR, and thermal properties of these solvates, as well as their corresponding desolvated materials, have been determined and are compared to the previously reported [TCNE]⁻⁻ salts of 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-chlorophenyl)porphyrinatomanganese(III), 1Cl. The v_{CN} absorptions of 1X·2PhMe (X = Cl, Br, I) and 11·xCH₂Cl₂ occur at 2202 ± 1 (m) and 2161 ± 1 (s) cm⁻¹ and $1X \cdot xCH_2CI_2$ (X = F, Cl, Br) and $1F \cdot xPhMe$ occur at 2195 ± 1 (m) and 2135 ± 2 (s) cm⁻¹. Upon thermolysis at 175 °C the toluene desolvates to form α -[MnTXPP][TCNE] [X = Cl (α -1C1), Br (α -1Br), I (α -1I)] with $v_{\rm CN}$ absorptions maintained at 2201 ± 1 (m) and 2160 ± 1 (s) cm⁻¹. In contrast, unlike **1Cl·2PhMe**, desolvation of 1X·2PhMe (X = Br, I) in refluxing *n*-octane (127 °C) or heated mineral oil (170 °C) slurries does not lead to materials with ν_{CN} absorptions characteristic of β-[MnTClPP][TCNE]. Upon facile desolvation of dichloromethane solvates, materials with v_{CN} absorptions characteristic of γ -[MnTClPP][TCNE] form as the v_{CN} absorptions remain essentially unchanged [2195 \pm 2 (m) and 2135 \pm 2 (s) cm⁻¹]. The magnetic data for the [MnTXPP][TCNE] family are consistent with linear chain ferrimagnets comprised of antiferromagnetically coupled $S = 2 \text{ Mn}^{III}$ sites and S = 1/2 [TCNE]. sites with the antiferromagnetic intrachain coupling, J_{intra}/k_B (k_B = Boltzmann's constant) determined from fits to the Seiden expression for isolated chains comprised of alternating S = 1/2 and S = 2 spins. For the [MnTFPP][TCNE] series, J_{intra} values of -225, -160, -210, and -177 K were obtained for 1F·xPhMe, β -1F, 1F·2CH₂Cl₂, and γ -1F, respectively. While J_{intra} values of -30, -45, -270, and -75 K were observed for 1Br·2PhMe, α-1Br, 1Br·2CH₂Cl₂, and γ -1Br, respectively. Finally, J_{intra} values of -53, -35, -35, and -53 K were observed for 11·2PhMe, α -11, 11-2CH₂Cl₂, and γ -11, respectively. The ordering temperatures, T_e, determined from the maxima in the 10 Hz $\chi'(T)$ ranged from 6.5 K (11·2PhMe) to 28 K for (1F·xPhMe). Based on the magnetic behavior, desolvation of 1X·PhMe and 1X·CH₂Cl₂ increases the magnetic disorder and subsequent spin glass behavior as well as changing the observed interchain magnetic coupling.

Introduction

Over the past few years, the area of organic-based magnets has garnered considerable interest due to its potential technological importance¹ and multidisciplinary appeal.^{2,3} This area of materials chemistry benefits from the rational design in preparing materials with predictable magnetic properties. There are a few basic approaches^{3d,4} to designing magnetically ordered systems. One approach is to build systems in which dominant ferromagnetic magnetic coupling leads to a ferromagnet. A second approach is to antiferromagnetically couple spins of unequal magnitude leading to a net moment in the system, *i.e.*, ferrimagnetism. A number of ferrimagnetic 1-D heterometallic chain systems have been studied that exhibit bulk magnetic order.36,g,j,k,5,6 Examples of this approach include the ferrimagnet $[Mn(NIT(n-Pr))(hfac)_2]$ [NIT(n-Pr) = 2-propyl-4,4,5,5tetramethyl-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-imidazolyl-3-oxide-1-oxy; Hhfac = hexafluoroacetylacetonate] consisting of 1-D chains of $Mn^{\rm II}$ bridged by an S = 1/2 nitronyl nitroxide radical, and has T_c of 8.6 K.^{3g,6a} More recently, through the use of polynitroxide ligands layered Mn(II) materials have been prepared with a T_c as high as 46 K.^{6b} Herein, the work focuses on a system that contains the tetracyanoethylene radical anion, [TCNE]⁻⁻, that couples to spin-containing transition metal centers as typified by [MnTPP][TCNE]-2PhMe (H₂TPP = 5,10,15,20-tetraphenyl-porphyrin), which has a T_c of 15 K.^{3b,7,8}

This metalloporphyrin family of electron transfer salts is especially interesting owing to its rich magnetic behavior.^{3b,9} These 1-D chain structures are comprised of manganoporphyrins bridged by organic radicals such as [TCNE]⁻,^{9a} chloranil,^{9d} or hexacyanobutadienide.¹⁰ In the last few years, several substituted [MnTPP][TCNE] systems have been structurally and/or magnetically characterized.^{8,9,11} Current research in this area focuses on the establishment of relationships between 3-D molecular structure and observed physical properties for this family of compounds.¹²

5,10,15,20-Tetraphenylmetalloporphyrins are appealing as model compounds due to the relative ease by which subtle changes in the porphyrin structure can be effected. Similarly, metalloporphyrins can accommodate a wide range of solvents¹³ changing the intra- and inter-chain couplings and ultimately the T_c ⁹ As part of these studies the effects of

J. Chem. Soc., *Dalton Trans.*, 2000, 3939–3948 **3939**

[†] Based on the presentation given at Dalton Discussion No. 3, 9–11th September 2000, University of Bologna, Italy.

Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: rotatable 3-D crystal structure diagram in CHIME format. See http://www.rsc.org/ suppdata/dt/b0/b003458o/

Table 1 Infrared v_{CN} (cm⁻¹) stretching data for [MnTXPP][TCNE] $\cdot x$ solv (X = F, Cl, Br, I; solv = PhMe, CH₂Cl₂) and subsequent annealing products

	PhMe ^b	α ^b	β ^{<i>b</i>}	CH ₂ Cl ₂ ^b	γ ^{<i>b</i>}
	m s	m s	m s	m s	m s
	2195, 2133	2195, 2137		2196, 2136	2196, 2136
1F	A	A	а	A	A
	2201, 2160	2202, 2161	2190, 2132 A	2195, 2138	2193, 2133
1Cl ^{9e}	B	B	<i>,</i>	A	Â
	2203, 2162	2201, 2160	a	2195, 2137	2195, 2137
1Br	В	В		A	A
	2203, 2162	2201, 2160	а	2202, 2162	2202, 2162
11	B	B		B	B

^{*a*} Same as α -phase. ^{*b*} Type A or B as noted in the text.



Scheme 1

thermal annealing on the toluene and dichloromethane solvates of meso-tetrakis(4-chlorophenyl)porphyrinatomanganese(III) tetracyanoethenide, [MnTClPP][TCNE], have been reported.^{9e} The solvated and annealed desolvated products were prepared as illustrated in Scheme 1, with each of the five materials exhibiting distinctly different magnetic behavior. With the ultimate goal of preparing [MnTPP][TCNE] magnets with predictable and tunable physical properties, model systems that can be studied systematically to identify which structural parameters lead to observed ferrimagnetic 3-D magnetic ordering are sought. Recently we reported on a series of similarly structured 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-halogenophenyl)porphyrinatomanganese(II) electron transfer salts with TCNE prepared from toluene.¹⁴ Within this series ordering temperatures as high as 28 K were observed. This doubling of $T_{\rm c}$ prompted us to revisit the influence of polymorphism and pseudopolymorphism in this class of compounds. As an extension of this earlier work, herein we report the structure of 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-bromophenyl)porphyrinatomanganese(III) tetracyanoethenide, [Mn-TBrPP][TCNE] · 2CH₂Cl₂ (1Br·2CH₂Cl₂) as well as the thermal behavior and magnetic properties of the toluene and dichloromethane solvates of 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-fluorophenyl)porphyrinatomanganese(III) tetracyanoethenide, 1F, 5,10,15, 20-tetrakis(4-bromophenyl)porphyrinatomanganese(III) tetracyanoethenide, 1Br, and 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-iodophenyl)porphyrinatomanganese(III) tetracyanoethenide, 1I and compare these results to those observed for the 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-chlorophenyl)porphyrinatomanganese(III) tetracyanoethenide [MnTClPP][TCNE], **1Cl** family of magnets.

Results

Synthesis and thermal properties

The toluene and dichloromethane solvates of [MnTXPP]-[TCNE], (X = F, Cl,^{9e} Br, I), **1X·xPhMe** and **1X·xCH₂Cl₂**, were prepared from the reaction of filtered solutions of Mn^{II}-TXPPpy and TCNE in toluene and dichloromethane, respectively. The presence of [TCNE]⁻⁻ was confirmed by the shift in the v_{CN} absorption from neutral TCNE° [2259 (s), 2221 (m) cm⁻¹]⁷ to lower frequencies, which are summarized in Table 1. These values are similar to 2192 (s) and 2147 (m) cm⁻¹ observed for [MnTPP][TCNE]·2PhMe ¹⁵ and 2197 (s) and 2133 (m) cm⁻¹ for [MnTP'P][TCNE]·2PhMe [H₂TP'P = 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(3,5-di-*tert*-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)porphyrin];^{9a} however, these data are inconsistent with the presence of [TCNE]²-, [2104 (s), 2069 (m) cm⁻¹] or unbound [TCNE]⁻⁻, [2185 (s), 2145 (m) cm⁻¹].⁴

Except $1Cl \cdot xCH_2Cl_2$, only a small shift in the v_{CN} absorptions were observed upon thermal treatment under reduced pressure of the toluene solvates (Table 1) indicating little change in the [TCNE]⁻⁻ bonding and consequently the intrachain structure

Table 2	Summary of structural	parameters for	[MnTXPP]	[TCNE]·xsolv
---------	-----------------------	----------------	----------	--------------

Compound	Mn · · · Mn Intrachain/Å	Mn · · · Mn Interchain/Å	Intrachain… Intrachain/Å	Interchain separations/Å	Mn-N-C/°	MnN ₄ –TCNE dihedral angle, δ /°
1Cl·2PhMe ^{9e}	10.189	10.171 11.458 14.522	2.267	8.858 13.850 16.474	167.2	86.8
1Br·2PhMe ¹⁴	10.277	14.522 10.079 11.530 14.626	2.293	9.409 14.001 16.830	168.1	89.4
11·2PhMe ¹⁴	10.101	9.831 11.823 15.399	2.276	9.390 15.213 16.703	158.7	69.6
1Cl·2CH ₂ Cl ₂ ^{9e}	9.894	10.697	2.276	10.697	143.1	52.4
$1Br \cdot 2CH_2Cl_2$	9.973	10.823 13.127	2.290	10.823 12.798	145.0	52.9
1H·2PhMe ^{7a}	10.116	11.006 11.829 13.269	2.306	10.201 13.139 13.630	147.6	55.4

occurs. The subsequent desolvated materials were designated as α -, β - or γ -phases in accord with the designation of the original chloro-substituted series and method of preparation, Scheme 1. In general the TGA/MS of 1X·xPhMe indicate two weight losses; the first ranging from 98 to 197 °C and the second at 300 °C. The low temperature weight loss is accompanied by the appearance of toluene $(m/z^+ 91)$ in the mass spectrum and predicts 1.19, 2.2, and 1.8 toluene solvates per formula unit for X = F, Br, and I, respectively. The high temperature weight loss (above 300 °C) is due to TCNE decomposition, based on the appearance of characteristic TCNE fragments in the mass spectrum. Interestingly, 1F·xPhMe has one toluene compared with 1Cl·2PhMe, 1Br·2PhMe, and 1I·2PhMe which accommodates two toluenes per formula unit, possibly due to contraction of the crystal lattice or close interchain spacing for the former. The temperature of solvent loss for 1F·xPhMe is significantly higher, 197 °C, than observed for other halogens, again consistent with a more tightly held solvent.

For X = Br and I, samples heated above 120 °C under vacuum in excess of 2 h showed no evidence of toluene in the TGA/MS, with α -1X collected 30 min after complete desolvation. Thermolysis of 1Br·2PhMe, in *n*-octane at (127 °C) leads to the isolation of β -1Br, akin to β -1Cl, but had $v_{\rm CN}$ absorptions characteristic of α -1Br over the measurable temperature range (-134 to 180 °C). Heating 1Br·2PhMe in mineral oil to 170 °C (well below the decomposition temperature) for periods up to 16 h did not result in a noticeable change in the $v_{\rm CN}$ absorptions. Heating in excess of 16 h was accompanied by signs of decomposition, suggesting that the thermal formation β -1Br is not possible by this methodology. Unlike 1Cl·2PhMe that exhibits weight loss above 78 °C, 1Br·2PhMe requires significantly higher temperatures (97 °C) for desolvation.

Thermal desolvation of **11·2PhMe** in *n*-octane at 127 °C was accompanied by a negligible shift in $v_{\rm CN}$ to 2201 (s) and 2160 (m) cm⁻¹. Formation of α -11 was monitored by TGA/MS with complete desolvation observed in 2 h and the subsequent α -phase, α -11, isolated 30 min thereafter. Thermolysis at 170 °C in mineral oil leads to a product whose $v_{\rm CN}$ absorptions are again identical to α -11 over the measurable temperature range (-134 to 180 °C). Again attempts to prepare β -11 by heating to temperatures in excess of 200 °C for extended periods led to decomposition.

Due to rapid solvent loss, detailed thermo-physical measurements for $1X \cdot 2CH_2Cl_2$ (X = F, Cl, Br, I) were not possible; however, detailed magnetic experiments were performed on solvated materials with the use of an airtight sample holder. As with $1Cl \cdot 2CH_2Cl_2$,^{9e} complete desolvation of $1X \cdot 2CH_2Cl_2$ (X = F, Br, I) to form γ -1X did not alter the v_{CN} absorptions. As the v_{CN} absorptions are sensitive to changes in the local coordination environment around Mn, the desolvation of $1X \cdot 2CH_2Cl_2$ is assumed to proceed with minimal changes in the intrachain structure. Hence, desolvation likely effects the magnitude of interchain coupling interactions and ultimately the 3-D magnetic ordering temperatures, T_c . In the related 1-D heterometallic chain $[CoCu(pbaOH)(H_2O)_x]$ (x < 3)^{5b} [pbaOH = 2-hydroxy-1,2-propanediylbis(oxamato)] for example, the removal of successive water molecules caused the ordering temperature to increase from <2, 10, 38 K for x = 3, 2, and 1, respectively. This is attributed to an increase in both structural and magnetic dimensionality.^{5b} In the present system, desolvation should decrease the interchain spacing, and assuming the 1-D stacking remains constant, stronger interchain coupling and higher ordering temperatures are expected (*vide supra*).

Structure

The detailed structures of **1X·2PhMe** (X = Cl, Br, I) were previously described.^{9e,14} The solid state motifs of **1X·2PhMe** (X = Cl, Br, I) are comprised of similar 1-D chains of $\cdots D^+A^-D^+A^-\cdots$ where the [TCNE]^{•-} is *trans*- μ -*N*- σ -bound to two [Mn^{III}TXPP]⁺ cations, which stack along the crystallographic *b* axis. Along *a* the chains interdigitate with the phenyl groups on each adjacent chain pointing between the porphyrin planes of the closest chain to form 2-D layers parallel to *a*. Close contacts were observed between the halogen X(1) and C(1) of [TCNE]^{•-} of 3.371, 3.481, and 3.848 Å for **1Cl·2PhMe**,^{9e} **1Br·2PhMe**,¹⁴ and **1I·2PhMe**,¹⁴ respectively. The resulting 2-D layers stack along *c* separated by columns of solvent. The structural details for the toluene and the dichloromethane solvates are summarized in Table 2.

The structure determination of 1Br·2CH2Cl2 revealed onehalf of an ordered 1Br·2CH2Cl2 with both the cation and anion occupying special positions in the unit cell, Fig. 1. The Mn-N_{ring} bond distances are 2.009(2) and 2.014(2) Å for 1Cl·2CH₂Cl₂¹⁶ and 2.010(7) and 2.004(7) Å for 1Br·2CH₂Cl₂ with the remaining bond distances and angles typical of $[Mn^{III}TPP]^+$.^{9,10,12,17} As with the majority of $[TCNE]^{-1}$ coordination polymer structures, [TCNE]⁻ is planar with a twist of 0.0°. The [TCNE]⁻⁻ bond distances for 1Br·2CH₂Cl₂ are consistent with other know [TCNE].- structures, with the key central C-C distance being 1.43(2) Å.¹⁴ The Mn^{III} in 1Br·2CH₂Cl₂ occupies a tetragonally elongated octahedral coordination sphere with a Mn-NC bond distance of 2.290(6) Å, which is identical to [MnTOMePP][TCNE]. 2PhMe (2.290 Å) $[H_{2}TOMePP = 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-meth$ oxyphenyl)porphyrin],^{9d} and within experimental error of [MnTPP][TCNE] (2.306 Å),9a and 1Br·2CH2Cl2 (2.276 Å), but is significantly shorter than that of the [MnOEP][TCNE],¹⁰

(H₂OEP = octaethylporphyrin) (2.361 Å). The solid state motif of **1X·2CH₂Cl₂** (X = Cl and Br) are comprised of nearly isostructural 1-D coordination polymers of alternating $\cdots D^+A^-D^+A^-\cdots$ where the [TCNE]⁻⁻ (A) is *trans*- μ -*N*- σ bound to [MnTXPP]⁺ (D) cations. The Mn–N–C angles of **1X·2CH₂Cl₂** (X = Cl, Br) are 143.1 and 145.0°, respectively, with the dihedral angles between the mean planes of porphyrin MnN₄ and [TCNE]⁻⁻ of 52.4^{9e} and 52.9°. Intrachain Mn····Mn



Fig. 1 Labeling diagram and ORTEP³⁰ (30% probability level) diagram for [MnTBrPP][TCNE]·2CH₂Cl₂, 1Br·2CH₂Cl₂.

separations of 9.8949° and 9.973 Å for $1X \cdot 2CH_2CI_2$ (X = Cl and Br) respectively, fall in the typical range for [Mn(porphyrin)]-[TCNE] chains.⁹ The interchain distances for 1Br·2CH₂Cl₂ are depicted in Fig. 2 and summarized in Table 2. While each member of the [Mn(porphyrin)][TCNE] family⁵⁻⁹ is comprised of parallel 1-D \cdots D⁺A⁻-D⁺A⁻ \cdots chains, the intrachain arrangement of $1X \cdot 2CH_2Cl_2$ (X = Cl, Br) is unusual with respect to the other family members, both form a herringbone arrangement between adjacent chains, Fig. 2. Similar packing was observed in [MnTF₄OMePP][TCNE]·2PhMe¹⁸ [H₂TF₄-OMePP = 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-methoxyphenyl)porphyrin], which also crystallizes in the $P2_1/n$ space group at higher temperatures. The chains interdigitate along b to form layers with the halogen of adjacent chains pointed into the gap between the [TCNE]^{.-} bridged porphyrins as observed in the toluene solvates.¹⁴ Close contacts were observed between the para-halogen and [TCNE]^{•-} of 3.401 and 3.490 Å for 1Cl·2CH₂Cl₂ and 1Br·2CH₂Cl₂, respectively. This observation is consistent with the toluene series 1X·2PhMe (X = Cl, Br, I), which exhibit similar para-halogen–[TCNE]⁻⁻ contacts (vide infra). Although the genesis of this interaction is not well understood, similar halogen-[TCNE]⁻⁻ contacts have been observed in [MnTF4OMePP][TCNE].2PhMe and [MnT(o-F)PP][TCNE]·2PhMe¹⁸ and many play a role in the chain orientation.9f



Fig. 2 View down the crystallographic *b* (chain) axis (a) and parallel to *b* showing the intra- and inter-chain interaction among the unique chains: **I–II** (b), and **I–III** (=**I–III**') (c) for [MnTBrPP][TCNE]·2CH₂Cl₂, **1Br·2CH₂Cl₂**. Note the [TCNE][•] *trans*- μ -*N*- σ -bonding to [MnTClPP]⁺ and the uniform chains. The hydrogen atoms and toluenes of solvation are omitted for clarity.

Table 3	Summary	of magnetic	properties for	[MnTPP][7	[CNE]•xsolv
---------	---------	-------------	----------------	-----------	-------------

			$J_{\rm intra}/k_{\rm B}/{ m K}$				M(5 T 2 K)		
Complex, type	$\mu_{\rm eff}/\mu_{\rm B}$	θ/\mathbf{K}	θ'/K	$T_{\rm min}/{ m K}$	a	b	T_{c}^{c}/K	φ	$\frac{M(3, 2 \mathbf{K})}{\text{Kemu Oe mol}^{-1}}$
1F•xPhMe, ¹⁴ A	5.12	d	70	667 <i>°</i>	-225	-159	28.0	0.005	12.3
α-1 F , A	5.10	d	64	474 ^e	-160	-113	24.5	0.02	11.5
1F-2CH ₂ Cl ₂ , A	5.19	d	55	609 °	-210	-145	26.9	0.012	10.5
γ-1F, A	5.19	d	38	520 ^e	-177	-124	25.4	0.013	12.7
1Cl·2PhMe, ^{9e} B	4.69	-60	13	110	-33	-26	8.8	0.017	11.0
α-1Cl, ^{9e} B	4.89	-10	29	192	-65	-46	6.7	0.15	18.5
β-1Cl, ^{9e} A	5.05	d	92	800 ^e	-267	-190	11.1	0.14	15.8
1Cl·2CH,Cl, 9e A	5.10	d	58	480 ^e	-160	-114	14.1	0.001	14.0
γ-1Cl, ^{9e} Å	5.40	d	86	793°	-265	-189	10.8	0.1	18.7
1Br·2PhMe, ¹⁴ B	5.44	-53	13	80	-30	-19	8.0	0.005	12.5
α-1Br, B	4.88	-37	17	122	-45	-29	6.5	0.057	19.5
1Br·2CH,Cl,, A	5.17	d	97	790 ^e	-270	-188	10.0	0.10	13.3
γ-1 Br , A	5.60	-30	40	220	-75	-52	10.5	0.12	14.5
1I-2PhMe, ¹⁴ B	5.08	-79	30	160	-53	-38	6.5	0.17	11.0
α-1 I , B	5.19	-118	15	105	-35	-25	4.9	0.14	11.1
$1I \cdot 2CH_2Cl_2, B$	5.50	-104	15	110	-35	-26	6.6	0.13	11.5
γ-1I, B	5.24	-156	24	141	-53	-34	7.6	0.11	10.1
1H·2PhMe ⁷	5.12	d	61	270	-115	-64	13.0	0.18	17.5

^{*a*} J_{intra} was obtained from the Seiden expression. ^{*b*} $J_{\text{intra}} = T_{\text{min}}/4.2$, see text. ^{*c*} Maximum in $\chi'(T, 10 \text{ Hz})$. ^{*d*} Not observed. ^{*e*} From fit to Seiden model. ^{*f*} emu Oe mol⁻¹ = 10² A mmol⁻¹.



Fig. 3 Reciprocal molar magnetic susceptibility, χ^{-1} (filled symbols), and moment, μ_{eff} (open symbols), as a function of temperature (1000 Oe) for (a) **Br**•2**PhMe** (o, \bullet), (b) α -1**Br** (\Box , \blacksquare), (c) 1**Br**•2**CH**₂**Cl**₂ (Δ , \blacktriangle), and (d) γ -1**Br** (\diamondsuit , \bullet).

Magnetic studies

The magnetic behavior of [MnTXPP][TCNE]·solv (X = F, Cl, Br, I; solv = PhMe, CH₂Cl₂) are similar, and the bromo-series was chosen as a model and the F and I series are discussed and summarized in Table 3. The 2 to 300 K reciprocal susceptibility, χ^{-1} , and effective moment, μ_{eff} , of **1Br·2PhMe**, α -**1Br**, **1Br· 2CH₂Cl₂**, and γ -**1Br** are shown in Fig. 3. The 300 K effective moments range from 4.88 to 5.60 $\mu_{\rm B}$ averaging 5.26 $\mu_{\rm B}$, close to the expectation for noninteracting S = 2 and S = 1/2 spins. Values less that 5.20 $\mu_{\rm B}$ are due to antiferromagnetic coupling evident at room temperature, while values higher than 5.20 $\mu_{\rm B}$ are likely due to errors in molecular weight due to the specific degree of solvation, or conversely a consequence of interchain coupling, strong coupling with $T_{\rm min} \ge 300$ K.¹⁸

The susceptibilities of **1Br·2PhMe** and α -**1Br** can be fit to a Curie–Weiss expression, $\chi [\alpha 1/(T - \theta)]$ in two linear regions. The linear high temperature regime above 150 K can be fit with θ values of -53 and -37 K for **1Br·2PhMe** and α -**1Br**, respectively indicative of dominant antiferromagnetic coupling.

Between 20 and 100 K the data can be fit with effective θ , θ' , of 13 K for **1Br·2PhMe** and 17 K α-**1Br**, indicative of long range ferromagnetic coupling. Upon desolvation of 1Br·2PhMe θ and θ' values of -37 and 17 K were observed. The 30% increase in θ' with desolvation in **1Br**·**2PhMe** is less than the 123% increase observed in 1Cl·2PhMe; however, both suggest the magnitude of the interchain coupling is increased upon desolvation possibly due to a contraction of interlayer spacing. **1Br·2CH₂Cl₂** exhibits a single linear regime in $\chi^{-1}(T)$ above a temperature of 50 K that was fit with an θ' value of 97 K, the highest reported θ value for the [MnTPP][TCNE] family, significantly higher than that of [MnTPP][TCNE]·2PhMe⁷ 61 K and close to that of β-1Cl 92 K.9e Desolvation of 1Br·2CH₂Cl₂ yields γ -1Br, which is accompanied with a 41% decrease in θ' (40 K) and the observation of θ of -30 K. This is in stark contrast to 1Cl·2CH₂Cl₂ which exhibits a 48% increase in θ' upon desolvation. Hence, the operative mechanisms of desolvation of 1Cl·2CH₂Cl₂ and 1Br·2CH₂Cl₂ are likely different, which is surprising given their structural similarities. The $\chi T(T)$ of **1Br**·**2PhMe**, α -**1Br** and γ -**1Br** are characterized by



Fig. 4 Fit of the $\chi T(T)$ data to the Seiden expression¹⁹ for (a) **1Br**·**2PhMe**, (b) α -**1Br**, (c) **1Br**·**2CH**₂**Cl**₂, and (d) γ -**1Br**.

a decrease in $\chi T(T)$ with decreasing temperature reaching a minimum at 80, 122, and 220 K for **1Br**·**2PhMe**, α -**1Br** and γ -**1Br**, respectively. Similar results are observed for **1F** and **1I**, Table 3.

The 1-D antiferromagnetic intrachain coupling, J_{intra} , of the [MnTBrPP][TCNE] series was determined from modeling $\chi T(T)$ to the Seiden¹⁹ expression, $H = -2J_{intra}S_a \cdot S_b$ with J_{intra}/k_B values of -30,¹⁴ -45, -270, and -75 K for **1Br·2PhMe**, β **-1Br**, $1Br \cdot 2CH_2Cl_2$, and $\gamma \cdot 1Br$ respectively, Table 3 and Fig. 4. The T_{\min} of 790 K was estimated from the Seiden model for 1Br·2CH₂Cl₂. Reasonable fits were not obtained for $1Br \cdot 2PhMe$ or γ -1Br, however, the shape and position of the minimum in $\chi T(T)$ was modeled to estimate J_{intra} from the Seiden expression.¹⁹ The Seiden model may not be valid for systems for which the intrachain coupling is weak, likely due to next nearest neighbor interactions. Alternatively, J_{intra} can be estimated from the expression $J_{\text{intra}}/k_{\text{B}} = T_{\text{min}}/4.2^{20}$ yielding values of -19, -29, -188 and -52 K for **1Br·2PhMe**, β-**1Br**, $1Br \cdot 2CH_2Cl_2$, and $\gamma - 1Br$, respectively. The coupling of [TCNE] with Mn^{III} is predicted to be antiferromagnetic, $J_{\text{intra}} < 0$, based on orbital overlap considerations.²¹ An inverse correlation between θ' and the dihedral angle between the porphyrin MnN₄ and [TCNE]⁻⁻ mean planes as well as the Mn-N-C bond angle has been established.²² Although no structural data are available for the desolvated materials, using the correlation between θ' or T_{\min} and dihedral angle, δ , between the [TCNE]⁻ and the porphyrin MnN₄ mean planes, estimates of the dihedral angles of the desolvated materials, Table 2, can be made. Based on this linear correlation, we predict dihedral angles of 86, 82, 44, and 73° for 1Br·2PhMe, β -1Br, 1Br·2CH₂Cl₂, and γ -1Br, respectively.

Ordering temperatures for **1Br·2PhMe**, β -**1Br**, **1Br·2CH₂Cl₂**, and γ -**1Br** were determined by the initial peak in the in-phase susceptibility at 10 Hz, $\chi'(T)$, and are 8.0, 6.5, 10.0, and 10.5 K,



Fig. 5 Temperature dependencies of the dispersive, χ' (\times , \blacksquare , and \ominus) and absorptive, χ'' (\times , \blacksquare , and \bigcirc), components of the ac susceptibility at 10, 10², and 10³ Hz, respectively, (a) **1Br-2PhMe**, (b) α -**1Br**, (c) **1Br-2CH₂Cl₂**, and (d) γ -**1Br**. ($H_{dc} = 0$ Oe, amplitude = 1 Oe.) Samples were zero-field cooled and data taken upon warming.

respectively (Fig. 5). The initial peak in $\chi'(T)$ for **1Br·2PhMe** was not accompanied by a peak in $\chi''(T)$ suggesting an antiferromagnetic, not a ferrimagnetic state. As the temperature is decreased a second peak in $\chi'(T)$ is observed as a shoulder of the first peak, which shows frequency dependence as well as $\chi''(T)$ component, suggesting that a spin-glass or disordered state is entered. This reentrant behavior was previously observed for [MnTPP][TCNE]·2PhMe.^{9a} Frequency dependence observed in these materials can be parameterized $\{\varphi = \Delta T_f / \varphi \}$ $T_{\rm f} \log \Delta \omega$ }²³ ($T_{\rm f} = T$ at which $\chi'(T)$ has a maximum at the lowest frequency measured; ΔT_f = difference $\chi'(T)$ as a maxima at the lowest and highest frequencies measured; $\omega =$ frequency) and yield values ranging from $\varphi = 0.005$ to 0.17 consistent with typical spin-glasses, e.g., the alloys of $PdMn \ \varphi = 0.013$ and NiMn $\varphi = 0.018$.²³ The observation of a crystallographic phase transition in the related [MnTF₄OMePP][TCNE]·2PhMe¹⁸ suggests disorder at low temperatures may be due to incomplete crystallographic phase changes near the magnetic ordering temperature. α -1Br is characterized by a single frequency dependent peak in $\chi'(T)$ and $\chi''(T)$ at 6.5 K and 5.3 K, respectively. The position of the initial peak in $\chi'(T)$ is coincident with the shoulder observed in 1Br·2PhMe and is likely due to partial desolvation of 1Br·2PhMe during sample preparation. Desolvation of 1Br·2PhMe leads to a 19% decrease in the ordering temperature similar to 1F·xPhMe (13%) and 1Cl·2PhMe (24%). Likewise, desolvation of 1X·2CH₂Cl₂ leads to a decrease in T_c of 5.6% (F) for 23% (Cl), and interestingly an increase in T_c of 5.0% (Br) for 15% (I) (Table 3).

Isothermal magnetization data were collected in the zero-field cooled (ZFC) state at several temperatures. The 2 K, at 5 T magnetization of the [MnTBrPP][TCNE] family are summarized in Table 3 and range from 12 500 to 19 500 emu Oe mol^{-1}

	[MnTBrPP][TCNE]·2CH ₂ Cl ₂
Formula	C ₅₂ H ₂₈ N ₈ MnBr ₄ Cl ₄
М	1281.24
Space group	$P2_1/n$
aĺÅ	9.973(5)
b/Å	10.824(5)
c/Å	23.584(15)
β/°	100.24(6)
Z	2
V/Å ³	2505.32
Reflections collected	4930
Independent reflections	4389
$\lambda (MoK_a)/Å$	0.71073
$R(F_{o})$	0.0589
$Rw(F_{o})$	0.0691
μ/mm^{-1}	0.344
	225

averaging 14 950 emu Oe mol⁻¹. Saturation below 5 T was not observed possibly due to spin canting; however, at significantly higher fields M should approach the expectation of 16 755 emu Oe mol⁻¹ for an isolated antiferromagnetic ($S_{tot} = 2 - 1/2$) system, but this has yet to be achieved for any members of this family of magnets even in field as high as 120 000 Oe.²⁴

Magnetic-like behavior below 10 K is also observed for the [MnTXPP][TCNE] family with critical fields ranging from 4.0 to 27.0 kOe as well as large coercive fields and remanent magnetizations at low temperature. These interesting temperature dependent behaviors are present for the entire family of manganoporphyrin–TCNE magnets and is discussed independently.¹⁸

Discussion

Some trends emerge from the thermal studies of the [MnTXPP][TCNE] series of compounds. For X = F the v_{CN} absorptions are invariant to solvent or thermal history, with absorptions of 2195.5 ± 1 (m) and 2135 ± 2 (s) cm⁻¹, which based on $v_{\rm CN}$ are assigned to a type A material. Likewise, for X = I only v_{CN} absorptions of 2201 ± 1 (m) and 2161 ± 1 (s) cm^{-1} were observed, which are assigned to type *B* material. In this context, type A and B refer to materials with the lower frequency v_{CN} absorption assigned to v_{MeCN} being ≈ 2135 or \approx 2160 cm⁻¹. **1Cl·2PhMe** is unique in exhibiting two thermally isolated phases from the toluene solvate belonging to both type **B** (α -1Cl) and A (β -1Cl).^{9e} The dichloromethane solvate $1X \cdot xCH_2CI_2$ and subsequent desolvated phases, $\gamma - 1X$, $(X = F, CI_2)$ Br) are type A materials. Surprisingly, $1I \cdot x CH_2 Cl_2$ and $\gamma - 1I$ belong to type **B**. Prolonged heating at elevated temperatures of 1X·2PhMe (X = Br, I) does not yield β -1X (X = Br, I) below the decomposition temperature. Therefore, transformation from type B to type A was only observed in 1Cl·2PhMe. The frequency $v_{\rm CN}$ absorption is indicative of the coordination environment of the Mn^{III} center, with lower frequencies suggesting stronger back-bonding with [TCNE]^{\cdot}. Hence, type A materials have less back-bonding than materials of type B. These data suggest the steric size of the halogen may ultimately govern the intrachain stacking and hence the infrared absorptions observed for the para-halogen substituted [MnTPP]-[TCNE] series. Small halogens (X = F) tend to form type A materials with large halogens (X = Br, I) favoring type **B** materials, with X = Cl being borderline. Note that A and B refer to intrachain structures as the $v_{\rm CN}$ data reflect local [TCNE].- bonding and hence do not reflect changes in the interchain interactions which govern the 3-D bulk magnetic behavior.

From the 1-D 1X·2PhMe (X = Cl, Br, I) structures some conclusions can be reached as to the mechanism of β -1Cl form-

The study of the magnetic properties can be divided into two regimes: (i) the high temperature regime characterized by antiferromagnetic coupling of the [TCNE]. - radical with the Mn^{III} center and (ii) the low temperature magnetic behavior characterized by observation of magnetic ordering. The magnetic behavior of the [MnTPP][TCNE] family of magnets is exceedingly complicated and not readily modeled over a large temperature range. To account for the onset of 3-D ordering we must approach the spin coupling from the simplest model. A simple nearest neighbor exchange interaction can be described by spin Hamiltonian, $H = -2JS_1 \cdot S_2$, where S_1 and S_2 are neighboring spin sites and J represents the coupling between the two spins.²⁵ The sign and magnitude of the exchange parameter, J, depends largely upon the system studied. In [MnTXPP]-[TCNE], J is dominated by the 1-D antiferromagnetic coupling between Mn^{III} and [TCNE]^{•–}. In the high temperature regime the magnetic data can, in most cases, can be modeled by the Seiden expression¹⁹ for isolated chains of alternating quantum and classical S = 2 and quantum S = 1/2 spins and J_{intra} . In cases where the 1-D coupling is weak, the Seiden model does not adequately describe the coupling at high temperatures. Likely, the intrachain exchange would need to be expanded to include such effects as temperature independent paramagnetism, TIP, and single ion anisotropy.²⁶ Hence, as noted the analytical expression $T_{\min}/4.2$ is used to estimate the 1-D coupling J_{intra} in those special cases.²⁰ At low temperatures typically T < 30 K, 2- and 3-D interactions can no longer be neglected and the Seiden model is no longer valid.

Conclusion

The structural and magnetic properties have been described for a series of *para*-halogenated [MnTXPP][TCNE] derivatives prepared from toluene and from dichloromethane. The structure of the toluene solvates are found to form similar 1-D chains which form loosely knit layers separated by columns of solvent with all chains stacking parallel to the crystallographic b axis. The structurally characterized dichloromethane solvates also form similar 1-D chains that form layers through close contacts between chains with columns of solvent separating the layers. Effects of thermal annealing were studied for both solvates. It was found that the nature of the effects of annealing are system dependent with no clear relationship between the halogen series. Toluene solvates of larger halogens were found to exhibit increased thermal stability of the 1-D structure based on the v_{CN} absorptions, which for 1Br·2PhMe or 1I·2PhMe were unchanged below the decomposition temperature.

The 1-D magnetic coupling for the majority of the systems studied was modeled by the Seiden function with J_{inter} values ranging from -30 to -270 K. The T_c 's of the CH₂Cl₂ solvates exceed the T_c 's for the related PhMe solvates for type **B** PhMe containing materials but for type **A** PhMe solvates the opposite is true. Although exceptions occur desolvation decreases T_c , except for the PhMe solvate for X = Cl, and the CH₂Cl₂ solvates for X = Br and I. Also as expected the disorder, as determined for the change in the maxima of $\chi'(T)$ as a function frequency, φ , increases upon thermal treatment with both solvates for X = I being an exception. These trends as well as their exceptions are the subject of further studies.

We are currently studying the complete halogen–porphyrin series as model systems to understand and ultimately control the supramolecular crystal packing. Furthermore, with the high critical temperatures observed for the [MnTFPP][TCNE] series, we are focusing on fluorinated porphyrins to identify which possible structural factors influence the ordering temperature for the series.

Experimental

Synthesis

All manipulations involving [TCNE]^{•-} were carried out under nitrogen using standard Schlenck techniques or in a Vacuum Atmospheres DriLab[®]. Pyridine (py) and solvents used for the preparation of the [TCNE]^{•-} salts were predried and distilled from appropriate drying agents. TCNE was obtained as a gift from O. Webster and was resublimed prior to use. Mn^{II}TXPPpy (X = F, Br, I) were prepared by literature methods. [Mn^{III}TCIPP][TCNE]·2PhMe (1Cl·2PhMe), α -[Mn^{III}-TCIPP][TCNE] (α -1Cl), β -[Mn^{III}TCIPP][TCNE] (β -1Cl), [Mn^{III}-TCIPP][TCNE]·2CH₂Cl₂, (1Cl·2CH₂Cl₂), γ -[Mn^{III}TCIPP]-[TCNE], (γ -1Cl), [Mn^{III}TFPP][TCNE]·xPhMe, (1F·xPhMe), [Mn^{III}TBrPP][TCNE]·2PhMe (1Br·2PhMe), and [Mn^{III}TIPP]-[TCNE]·2PhMe, (1I·2PhMe) were prepared as previously reported.^{9e,14} Unless noted, attempts to grow crystals suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction studies failed.

α-[MnTFPP][TCNE] (α-1F). A glass vial containing freshly prepared [MnTFPP][TCNE]·*x*PhMe (*ca.* 30 mg) was heated in a tube furnace under dynamic vacuum at 175 °C for 3 h. Product formation was monitored by TGA/MS with heating continued ≈1 h after desolvation was achieved. IR (Nujol; cm⁻¹): $v_{\rm CN}$ 2195 (m), 2137 (s). Calc. for [MnTFPP][TCNE] (C₅₀H₂₄F₄MnN₈) *M* 867.71; C, 69.21; H, 2.79; N, 12.91. Found C, 68.89; H, 3.01; N, 12.82%.

[MnTFPP][TCNE]·2CH₂Cl₂ (1F·2CH₂Cl₂). Filtered 10 mL CH₂Cl₂ solutions of Mn^{II}TFPPpy (16.0 mg, 0.0195 mmol) and TCNE (10 mg, 0.080 mmol) were mixed and stirred under nitrogen at room temperature for 4 h. The mixture was then layered with 40 mL of Et₂O and transferred to a -25 °C freezer where the reaction mixture was allowed to stand for 3 days in an inert atmosphere glovebox. The resulting dark green microcrystalline precipitate was collected by vacuum filtration. IR (Nujol; cm⁻¹): v_{CN} 2196 (m), 2136 (s).

γ-[MnTFPP][TCNE] (γ-1F). The desolvated, γ-1F·2CH₂Cl₂, was obtained by drying [MnTFPP][TCNE]·2CH₂Cl₂ under reduced pressure for 1 h at room temperature, yielding 9.5 mg (86%) of dark green microcrystalline powder. IR (Nujol; cm⁻¹): $v_{\rm CN}$ 2196 (m), 2136 (s). Calc. for [MnTFPP][TCNE] (C₅₀H₂₄F₄MnN₈) *M* 867.71. C, 69.21; H, 2.79; N, 12.91. Found C, 68.99; H, 2.84; N, 13.15%.

α-[MnTBrPP][TCNE] (**α-1Br**). **α-1Br·2PhMe** was prepared *via* the analogous method used for **α-1Cl**. A glass vial containing freshly prepared [MnTBrPP][TCNE]·2PhMe (*ca.* 30 mg) was heated in a tube furnace under dynamic vacuum at 175 °C for 3 h. IR (Nujol; cm⁻¹): v_{CN} 2201 (m), 2160 (s). Calc. for [MnTBrPP][TCNE] (C₅₀H₂₄Br₄MnN₈) *M* 1111.33. C, 54.04; H, 2.18; N, 10.08. Found: C, 54.16; H, 2.22; N, 9.95%.

[MnTBrPP][TCNE]·2CH₂Cl₂ (1Br·2CH₂Cl₂). Filtered 10 mL CH₂Cl₂ solutions of Mn^{II}TBrPPpy (57.3 mg, 0.0538 mmol) and TCNE (18.0 mg, 0.140 mmol) were mixed and stirred under nitrogen at room temperature for 4 h. The mixture stood for 5 days in an inert atmosphere glovebox. The resulting 30.0 mg of black block crystals were collected by vacuum filtration. IR (Nujol; cm⁻¹): v_{CN} 2195 (m), 2137 (s).

3946 *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2000, 3939–3948

γ-[MnTBrPP][TCNE] (γ-1Br). The desolvated, γ-1Br·2CH₂-Cl₂, was obtained by drying [MnTBrPP][TCNE]·2CH₂Cl₂ under reduced pressure for 1 h at room temperature in a 71% yield of shiny black microcrystals. IR (Nujol; cm⁻¹): $v_{\rm CN}$ 2195 (m), 2137 (s). Calc. for [MnTBrPP][TCNE] (C₅₀H₂₄Br₄MnN₈) *M* 1111.33. C, 54.04; H, 2.18; N, 10.08. Found: C, 54.32; H, 2.42; N, 9.56%.

α-[MnTIPP][TCNE] (α-11). A glass vial containing freshly prepared [MnTIPP][TCNE]·2PhMe (*ca.* 30 mg) was heated in a tube furnace under dynamic vacuum at 175 °C for 3 h (74% yield). IR (Nujol; cm⁻¹): v_{CN} 2201 (m), 2160 (s).

[MnTIPP][TCNE]·2CH₂Cl₂ (11·2CH₂Cl₂). Filtered 10 mL CH₂Cl₂ solutions of Mn^{II}TIPPpy (81.6 mg, 0.0651 mmol) and TCNE (22.9 mg, 0.191 mmol) were mixed and stirred under nitrogen at room temperature for 4 h. The resulting green plate microcrystals were then collected by vacuum filtration. IR (Nujol; cm⁻¹): v_{CN} 2202 (m), 2162 (s).

γ-[MnTIPP][TCNE] (γ-1I). The desolvated γ-1I was obtained by drying [MnTIPP][TCNE]·2CH₂Cl₂ (35.1 mg) under reduced pressure for 1 h at room temperature, yielding 21.3 mg of shiny black microcrystals. IR (Nujol; cm⁻¹): $v_{\rm CN}$ 2202 (m), 2162 (s), 2124 (vw). Calc. for [MnTIPP][TCNE] (C₅₀H₂₄I₄MnN₈) *M* 1299.34. C, 46.22; H, 1.86; N, 8.62. Found: C, 46.37; H, 1.94; N, 8.43%.

Physical methods

The 2 to 300 K dc magnetic susceptibility was determined on a Quantum Design MPMS-5XL 5 T SQUID (sensitivity = 10^{-8} emu or 10^{-12} emu Oe⁻¹ at 1 T) magnetometer with an ultra-low field (≈0.005 Oe) option, an ac option enabling the study of the ac magnetic susceptibility (χ' and χ'') in the range of 10 to 1000 Hz, a reciprocating sample measurement system, and continuous low temperature control with enhanced thermometry features. Samples were loaded in gelatin capsules or in airtight Delrin holder and packed with oven-dried quartz wool and excess toluene (to prevent movement of the sample in the holder). For isofield dc measurements, the samples were zero-field cooled (following oscillation of the dc field), and data collected upon warming. For ac measurements, remanant fluxes were minimized by oscillation of the dc field, with the sample cooled in zero applied field with data then taken upon warming. In addition to correcting for the diamagnetic contribution from the sample holder, core diamagnetic corrections of -455, -479, -541, -604, -60, -52 and -47×10^{-6} emu mol⁻¹ were used for MnTFPP, MnTClPP, MnTBrPP, MnTIPP, TCNE, PhMe, and CH_2Cl_2 , respectively. Infrared spectra (600-4000 ± 1) cm⁻¹) were obtained on a Bio-Rad FT-40 spectrophotometer in mineral oil mulls. Variable temperature IR spectra were obtained in mineral oil mulls in the temperature range of -135 to 180 °C in a homebuilt variable temperature IR cell. The thermal properties were studied on a TA Instruments Model 2050 thermogravimetric analyzer (TGA) equipped with a TA-MS Fison triple filter quadrupole mass spectrometer, to identify gaseous products with masses less than 300 u, located in a Vacuum Atmospheres DriLab under argon. Samples were placed in an aluminum pan and heated at 20 °C min⁻¹ under a continuous 10 mL min⁻¹ nitrogen flow. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlabs, Norcross, GA.

Structure determination of [MnTBrPP][TCNE]·2CH₂Cl₂

Cell constants and an orientation matrix for the data collection were obtained by the standard methods. Systematic absences and subsequent least-squares refinement were used to determine the space groups. The weighting scheme used was: $[2\sigma F_{o}^{2}]^{-1}$. SHELX-97 was used for the refinement based on the Patterson method with nonhydrogen atoms refined anisotropically.28 Further refinement/analysis to locate disordered [TCNE]⁻⁻ was performed through examination of electron density difference maps. Data were also corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors.²⁹ Disorder in the orientation of [TCNE]⁻ and solvent were not observed. Empirical absorption corrections were applied. Crystallographic details are summarized in Table 4. The hydrogen atoms were included using the riding model where each H-atom coordinate is re-idealized before each refinement cycle and 'ride' on the atom to which it is attached.

CCDC reference number 186/2048.

See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/b0/b003458o/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support in part from the National Science Foundation Grant Nos. CHE9320478 and CHE9730948. We thank Wendy Hibbs for helpful discussion as well as verifying some data.

References

- 1 (a) C. P. Landee, D. Melville and J. S. Miller, in NATO ARW Molecular Magnetic Materials, ed. O. Kahn, D. Gatteschi, J. S. Miller and F. Palacio, 1991, vol. E198, p. 395; (b) B. G. Morin, C. Hahm, A. J. Epstein and J. S. Miller, J. Appl. Phys., 1994, 75, 5782; (c) J. S. Miller and A. J. Epstein, CHEMTECH, 1991, 21, 168; (d) J. S. Miller, Adv. Mater., 1994, 6, 322.
- 2 Proceedings on the Conference on Ferromagnetic and High Spin Molecular Based Materials, ed. J. S. Miller and D. A Dougherty, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1989, 176; Proceedings on the Conference on Molecular Magnetic Materials, ed. D. Gatteschi, O. Kahn, J. S. Miller and F. Palacio, NATO ARW on Molecular Magnetic Materials, 1991, vol. E198; Proceedings on the Conference on the Chemistry and Physics of Molecular Based Magnetic Materials, ed. H. Iwamura and J. S. Miller, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1993, 232/233: Proceedings on the Conference on Molecule-based Magnets. ed. J. S. Miller and A. J. Epstein, Mol. Cryst. Lig. Cryst., 1995, 271-274; Proceedings on the Conference on Molecular-Based Magnets, ed. K. Itoh, J. S. Miller and T. Takui, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1997, 305-306; M. M. Turnbull, T. Sugimoto and L. K. Thompson, (Editors), ACS Symp. Ser., 1996, 644.
- 3 Reviews; (a) V. I. Ovcharenko and R. Z. Sagdeev, Russ. Chem. Rev., 1999, 68, 345; (b) J. S. Miller and A. J. Epstein, Chem. Commun., 1998, 1319; (c) W. Plass, Chem. Ztg., 1998, 32, 323; (d) J. S. Miller and A. J. Epstein, Chem. Eng. News, 1995, 73, 30; J. S. Miller and A. J. Epstein, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 385; (e) M. Kinoshita, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., 1994, 33, 5718; (f) J. S. Miller and A. J. Epstein, Adv. Chem. Ser., 1995, 245, 161; (g) A. Caneschi, D. Gatteschi and P. Rey, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 1991, 39, 331; (h) A. L. Buchachenko, Russ. Chem. Rev., 1990, 59, 307; (i) O. Kahn, Struct. Bonding (Berlin), 1987, 68, 89; (j) A. Caneschi, D. Gatteschi, R. Sessoli and P. Rey, Acc. Chem. Res., 1989, 22, 392; (k) D. Gatteschi, Adv. Mat., 1994, 6, 635; (l) J. S. Miller, A. J. Epstein and W. M. Reiff, Acc. Chem. Res., 1988, 21, 114; (m) J. S. Miller, A. J. Epstein and W. M. Reiff, Science, 1988, 240, 40; (n) J. S. Miller, A. J. Epstein and W. M. Reiff, Chem. Rev., 1988, 88, 201; (o) J. S. Miller and A. J. Epstein, in New Aspects of Organic Chemistry, ed. Z. Yoshida, T. Shiba and Y. Oshiro, VCH Publishers, New York, 1989, p. 237; (p) O. Kahn, Molecular Magnetism, VCH Publishers, New York, 1993.
- 4 (a) J. S. Miller, J. C. Calabrese, D. A. Dixon, A. J. Epstein, R. W. Bigelow, J. H. Zhang and W. M. Reiff, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 769; (b) J. S. Miller, J. C. Calabrese, A. J. Epstein, R. W. Bigelow, J. H. Zhang and W. M. Reiff, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1986, 1026; (c) S. Chittipeddi, K. R. Cromack, J. S. Miller and A. J. Epstein, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1987, 22, 2695.
- 5 (a) Y. Pei, M. Verdaguer, O. Kahn, J. Sletten and J. P. Renard, Inorg. Chem., 1987, 26, 138; (b) S. Turner, O. Kahn and L. Rabardel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 6428; (c) O. Kahn, Y. Pei, M. Verdaguer, J. P. Renard and J. Sletten, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 782; (d) K. Nakatani, Y. Carriat, Y. Journaux, O. Kahn, F. Lloret and J. P. Renard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 5739.

- 6 A. Caneschi, D. Gatteschi, J. P. Renard, P. Rey and R. Sessoli, Inorg. Chem., 1989, 28, 3314; (b) K. Inoue, T. Hayamizu, H. Iwamura, D. Hashizume and Y. Ohashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 1803.
- 7 (a) J. S. Miller, J. C. Calabrese, R. S. McLean and A. J. Epstein, J. Adv. Mater., 1992, 4, 498; (b) P. Zhou, B. G. Morin, A. J. Epstein, R. S. McLean and J. S. Miller, J. Appl. Phys., 1993, 73, 6569.
- 8 (a) C. M. Wynn, M. A. Girtu, J. S. Miller and A. J. Epstein, J. Phys. Rev. B., 1997, 56, 14050; (b) C. M. Wynn, M. A. Girtu, K.-i. Sugiura, E. J. Brandon, J. L. Manson, J. S. Miller and A. J. Epstein, Synth. Met., 1997, 85, 1695; (c) C. M. Wynn, M. A. Girtu, J. S. Miller and A. J. Epstein, Phys. Rev. B, 1997, 56, 315; (d) A. J. Epstein, C. M. Wynn, W. B. Brinckerhoff and J. S. Miller, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1997, 305, 321.
- 9 (a) A. Böhm, C. Vazquez, R. S. McLean, J. C. Calabrese, S. E. Kalm, J. L. Manson, A. J. Epstein and J. S. Miller, Inorg. Chem., 1996, 35, 3083; (b) K.-i. Sugiura, A. Arif, D. K. Rittenberg, J. Schweizer, L. Öhrstrom, A. J. Epstein and J. S. Miller, Chem. Eur. J., 1997, 3, 138; (c) E. J. Brandon, K.-i. Sugiura, A. Arif, L. Liable-Sands, A. L. Rheingold and J. S. Miller, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1997, 305, 269; (d) E. J. Brandon, B. M. Burkhart, R. D. Rogers and J. S. Miller, Chem. Eur. J., 1998, 4, 1938; (e) E. J. Brandon, D. K. Rittenberg, A. M. Arif and J. S. Miller, Inorg. Chem., 1998, 37, 3376; (f) E. J. Brandon, A. M. Arif, B. M. Burkhart and J. S. Miller, Inorg. Chem., 1998, 37, 2792.
- 10 (a) J. S. Miller, C. Vazquez, N. L. Jones, R. S. McLean and A. J. Epstein, J. Mater. Chem., 1995, 5, 707; (b) D. K. Rittenberg, K.-i. Sugiura, A. M. Arif, C. D. Incarvito, A. L. Rheingold, Y. Sakata and J. S. Miller, Chem. Eur. J., 2000, 6, 1811.
- 11 (a) K. Griesar, M. A. Anthanassopoulou, E. A. Soto Bustamante, Z. Tomkowicz, A. J. Zaleski and W. Haase, Adv. Mater., 1997, 9, 45; (b) H. Winter, E. Dormann, R. Gompper, R. Janner, S. Kothrade, B. Wagner and H. Naarmann, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 1995, 140-144, 1443; (c) H. Winter, M. Klemen, W. Dormann, R. Gompper, R. Janner, S. Kothrade and B. Wagner, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1995, 273, 111; K. Falk, R. Werner, Z. Tomkowicz, M. Belanda and W. Haase, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 1999, 196-197, 564; M. Belanda, K. Falk, K. Griesar, Z. Tomkowicz and W. Haase, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 1999, 205, 14; M. Belanda, K. Falk, K. Griesar, Z. Tomkowicz, T. Wasiutynski and W. Haase, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1999, 335, 133.
- 12 E. J. Brandon, A. M. Arif, J. S. Miller and B. M. Burkhart, Cryst. Eng., 1998, 1, 97. J. S. Miller and E. J. Brandon, in NATO ARW Supramolecular Engineering of Synthetic Metallic Materials: Conductors and Magnets, ed. J. Veciana, C. Rovira, D. Amabilino, 1998, vol. C518, p. 197.
- 13 I. Goldberg, H. Krupitsky, Z. Stein, Y. Hsiou and C. E. Strouse, Supramol. Chem., 1995, 4, 203; H. Krupitsky, Z. Stein and I. Goldberg, J. Inclusion Phenom. Mol. Recognit., 1995, 20, 211; I. Goldberg, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1996, 278, 767; M. P. Byrn, C. J. Curtis, Y. Hsiou, S. I. Kahn, P. A. Sawin, S. K. Tendick, A. Terzis and C. E. Strouse, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 9480
- 14 D. K. Rittenberg and J. S. Miller, Inorg. Chem., 1999, 38, 1746.
- 15 D. A. Dixon and J. S. Miller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1987, **109**, 3656. 16 Crystal data for [MnTCIPP][TCNE]·2CH₂Cl₂:^{9e} C₅₂H₂₈N₈MnCl₈, M = 1103.42, monoclinic, a = 9.894(2), b = 10.697(2), c = 23.560(5), $\beta = 101.34(2)^{\circ}, Z = 2, V = 2444.6(8) Å^3, T = 225 \text{ K}, \text{ space group} = P2_1/n, \lambda(\text{Mo-K}_a) = 0.71073 Å, <math>R(F_o) = 0.0411, wR(F_o) = 0.1021$ 0.1034.
- 17 (a) V. W. Day, B. R. Sults, E. L. Tasset, R. S. Marianelli and L. J Boucher, Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett., 1975, 11, 505; (b) B. Cheng, F. Cukiernik, P. Fries, J.-C. Marchon and W. R. Scheidt, Inorg. Chem., 1995, 34, 4627; (c) R. Guildard, K. Perie, J.-M. Barbe, D. J Nurco, K. M. Smith, E. V Caemebecke and K. M. Kadish, Inorg. Chem., 1998, 37, 973.
- 18 D. K. Rittenberg, K.-i. Sugiura, Y. Sakata, I. A. Guzei, A. L. Rheingold and J. S. Miller, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 1999, **5**, 1647.
- 19 J. Seiden, J. Phys. Lett., 1983, 44, L947.
- 20 M. Drillion, E. Coronado, R. Georges, J. C. Gianduazzo and J. Curely, J. Phys. Rev. B, 1989, 40, 10992.
- 21 A. Bencini and D. Gatteschi, EPR of Exchange Coupled Systems, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- 22 E. J. Brandon, C. Kollmar and J. S. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120 1822
- 23 J. A. Mydosh, Spin Glasses, Francois and Taylor, Washington, DC, 1993
- 24 J. L. Manson and J. S. Miller, unpublished work.
- 25 D. C. Mattis, The Theory of Magnetism, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981, vol. 1.
- 26 D. K. Rittenberg, K.-i. Sugiura, A. M. Arif, Y. Sakata and J. S. Miller, Adv. Mater., 2000, 10, 126.

- A. D. Alder, F. R. Longo, J. D. Finarelli, J. Goldmacher and L. Korsakoff, J. Org. Chem., 1967, 32, 476.
 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELX97-2, Programs for Crystal Structure Analysis (Release 97-2), Universität Göttingen, Germany, 1998.
 Using the program CHAIN [J. S. Sack, J. Mol. Graphics, 1988, 6,

224] on a graphics workstation evidence for disorder of the cation, anion and solvent was sought without success: B. M. Burkhart,

anion and solvent was sought without success. B. M. Burkhart, personal communication.
30 C. K. Johnson, Report ORNL-5138, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, 1976.